



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/10/2003 10/659,647 2052 3662 Mark Yarkosky EXAMINER 7590 03/08/2006 28005 **SPRINT** AJIBADE AKONAI, OLUMIDE 6391 SPRINT PARKWAY PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT KSOPHT0101-Z2100 OVERLAND PARK, KS 66251-2100 2686

DATE MAILED: 03/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/659,647	YARKOSKY, MARK	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Olumide T. Ajibade-Akonai	2686	

	Olumide T. Ajibade-Akonai	2686		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	iress	
THE REPLY FILED 23 February 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	ig date of the final rejecti	ion.	
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee				
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS			ne appeal. Since	
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	, will not be entered b	ecause	
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for				
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		, o o to o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	* **	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).	
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).	llowable if submitted in a separate,	•		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: 1,7,9-12,14-24 and 26-31. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	4 to -form and the data of Elica and N			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a	
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attacl	hed.	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:	
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).				
13.  Other:	C		3/6/02	
	CHAR PRIMAF	LES APPIAH RY EXAMINER	,	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments to the claims, e.g; claim 1- "and to thereby receive wireless signals from a plurality of base stations" changes the scope of the claims and would require further search and consideration.